IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

JOHN F. CLARK,

Plaintiff,

V.

Civil Action No. 3:22CV820 (RCY)

PAMUNKEY REGIONAL JAIL,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Neither "inanimate objects such as buildings, facilities, and grounds" nor collective terms such as "staff" or "agency" are persons amenable to suit under § 1983. Lamb v. Library People Them, No. 3:13-8-CMC-BHH, 2013 WL 526887, at *2–3 (D.S.C. Jan. 22, 2013) (citations omitted) (internal quotations omitted) (explaining the plaintiff's "use of the collective term 'people them' as a means to name a defendant in a § 1983 claim does not adequately name a 'person'"); see Preval v. Reno, No. 99-6950, 2000 WL 20591, at *1 (4th Cir. 2000) (citations omitted) (affirming district court's determination that Piedmont Regional Jail is not a "person" under § 1983). In his Complaint, Plaintiff did not identify the particular constitutional right that was violated by the defendants' conduct. Plaintiff's allegations also failed to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which his or her liability rests. See Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quoting

Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on July

7, 2023, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within thirty (30) days of

the date of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit a particularized

complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

More than thirty (30) days have elapsed since the entry of the July 7, 2023 Memorandum

Order and Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint. Accordingly, the action will be

DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Final Order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

Roderick C. Young

United States District Judge

Date: August 15, 2023 Richmond, Virginia